UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSE

11530 FLS

*****	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *	K
ELAINE L. CHAO, Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor, MAGISTE		*	∆\0 \s\
		MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
	Plaintiff,	*	RECEIPT #
		*	CIVILAMOTION
v.		*	SUMMONS ISSUED 40
		*	FILE NOCAL RULE 4.1
DAVID SANTOS,		*	WAIVER FORM
		*	MCF ISSUED
	Defendant.	*	BY DPTY, CLK. 10 W
* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *	* DATE THUT

COMPLAINT

Elaine L. Chao, Secretary of the United States Department of Labor, hereby alleges:

- 1. This action arises under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1001 *et seq.*, and is brought pursuant to ERISA § 502(a)(2) and (5), 29 U.S.C. § 1132 (a)(2) and (5) to redress violations and to enforce the provisions of Title I of ERISA.
- 2. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 502(e)(1) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1).
- 3. Venue of this action lies in the District of Massachusetts pursuant to Section § 502(e)(2) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2).
- 4. J.D. Graphics, Inc. DBA Jonathan David Printing ("Graphics") was, during the period from January 1, 1002 to the present ("the pertinent period"), an employer within the meaning of ERISA § 3(5), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(5). Until October 2002, Graphics was a corporation with an office and place of business at 25 Salem Street, Medford, MA, within the jurisdiction of this Court. Graphics ceased operations in October 2002.

- 5. During the pertinent period, Defendant David Santos ("Santos"), has been the President, owner and sole shareholder of Graphics, and has been residing at 14 Thomas Road, Lynnfield, MA 01940.
- 6. On March 1, 1995, Graphics established the J.D. Graphics 401(k) Plan (the "Plan"), an employee pension benefit plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(2)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(2)(A), and which is covered under ERISA pursuant to § 4(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1003(a).
- 7. The purpose of the Plan is to provide retirement benefits for the exclusive benefit of its participants, employees of Graphics, and their beneficiaries.
- 8. During the pertinent period, Graphics has been the sponsor of the Plan, as defined by ERISA § 3(16)(B)(i), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(16)(B)(i). Graphics also acted as Plan Administrator for the Plan, and as such, was a fiduciary with respect to the Plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(21)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A). Furthermore, at all times relevant hereto, Graphics was a party in interest within the meaning of ERISA § 3(14)(A) and (C), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(14)(A) and (C)
- 9. During the pertinent period, Defendant Santos has been a Trustee of the Plan and a "named fiduciary" with respect to the Plan within the meaning of ERISA § 402(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1102(a).
- 10. During the pertinent period, Defendant Santos, as Trustee of the Plan, has further been a fiduciary with respect to the Plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(21)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A), and at all times exercised discretionary authority and control regarding the administration and management of the Plan. Furthermore, at all times relevant hereto, Defendant Santos has been a party in interest within the meaning of ERISA § 3(14)(A) and (H), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(14)(A) and (H).

- 11. According to Plan documents, the Plan was to be funded by withheld employee contributions determined by participant election in amounts ranging up to 15% of the participant's compensation per pay period. During the pertinent period, the contributions withheld on behalf of each Plan participant became assets of the Plan by operation of 29 C.F.R. § 2510.3-102.
- 12. During the pertinent period, Defendant Santos failed to forward withheld employee contributions in a timely manner. Defendant Santos failed to remit to the Plan employee contributions beginning in June 2002. Defendant Santos failed to remit subsequent withheld employee contributions to the Plan until Graphics ceased withholding employee contributions in October 2002. To date, withheld employee contributions, excluding Santos' contributions, total \$11,287.48, plus interest, and are due and owing to the Plan.
- 13. From June 2002 to the present, no measures were undertaken by Defendant Santos, as a named fiduciary, to collect employee contributions that Graphics failed to forward to the Plan.
- 14. As a result of the conduct set forth at paragraphs 12 and 13, Defendant Santos failed to discharge his fiduciary duties for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to participants and their beneficiaries and defraying reasonable expenses of administering the Plan, in violation of ERISA §§ 403(c)(1) and 404(a)(1)(A), 29 U.S.C. §§ 1103(c)(1) and 1104(a)(1)(A).
- 15. As a result of the conduct set forth at paragraphs 12 and 13, Defendant Santos failed to discharge his fiduciary duties with care, skill, prudence and diligence, in violation of ERISA § 404(a)(1)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(B).
- 16. As a result of the conduct set forth at paragraphs 12 and 13, Defendant Santos participated in what he knew or should have known constituted a prohibited transaction by

dealing with the assets of the Plan in his own interest or for his own account, in violation of ERISA § 406(b)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1106(b)(1).

- 17. As a result of the conduct set forth at paragraphs 12 and 13, Defendant Santos participated in what he knew or should have known constituted a prohibited transaction by dealing with the assets of the Plan on behalf of a party whose interests were adverse to the interests of the Plan and its Participants and Beneficiaries, in violation of ERISA § 406(b)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1106(b)(2).
- 18. As a result of the conduct set forth at paragraph 12 and 13, Defendant Santos engaged in prohibited transactions by causing or permitting the transfer of assets of the Plan to, or use by or for the benefit of Graphics, a party in interest, in violation of ERISA § 406(a)(1)(D), 29 U.S.C. § 1106(a)(1)(D).

WHEREFORE, the Secretary of Labor prays that this Court enter an Order:

- (a) Permanently enjoining Defendant Santos from violating, or knowingly participating in violations of, the provisions of ERISA §§ 403, 404 and 406, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1103, 1104 and 1106.
- (b) Permanently enjoining Defendant Santos from serving as a fiduciary to the Plan or any other employee benefit plan covered by ERISA;
- (c) Requiring Defendant Santos to undo the prohibited transactions in which he engaged and to restore to the Plan any and all losses incurred as a result of breaches of his fiduciary duties and the violations he committed or for which he is liable, with appropriate interest;
- (d) Awarding to Plaintiff the costs of this action, and other relief as is equitable and just.

Howard M. Radzely Solicitor of Labor

Frank V. McDermott, Jr. Regional Solicitor

Kelly M. Lawson

Attorney

U.S. Department of Labor Attorneys for Plaintiff

Post Office Address: U.S. Department of Labor Office of the Solicitor JFK Federal Building Room E-375 Boston, MA 02203

TEL: (617) 565-2500 FAX: (617) 565-2142

DATE: 1 - 3 Santi

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Title of case (nam	ne of first party on each side only)	, Secretary of Labor Will
	David Santos	
Category in whic	th the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code	e listed on the civil cover sheet. (686.
local rule 40.1(a)	(1)).	
	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.	
	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730), *Also complete AO 120 or AO 121
II.	740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.	for patent, trademark or copyright car
<u> </u>	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310,	
-	315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.	©
<u> </u>	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 66 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.	
		∜
<u> </u>	150, 152, 153.	De .
Title and numbe	er, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than	e prior related case has been filed in
this district plea	ase indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this coll	
Has a prior action	on between the same parties and based on the same claim ever t	been filed in this court?
		es No XX
Does the compl	laint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congre	ss affecting the public interest? (See
28 USC §2403)		
		ES NO XX
If so, is the U.S.	.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?	
	Y	ES NO
ls this case red	uired to be heard and determined by a district court of three judg	es pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?
10 1110 0110 104		ES NO X
		_
Do <u>all</u> of the pa	rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the unite s ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in	ed states and the Commonwealth of the same division? - (See Local Rule
Massachusetts 40.1(d)).	s (governmental agencies), residing in indocuments resident	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
	Y	ES X NO
A.	If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parti	ies reside?
	Eastern Division X Central Division	Western Division
В.	If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the	only parties, excluding governmental
	agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?	
	Eastern Division Central Division	Western Division
	and the state of t	multiple the attention of this Court? (If
	e of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court rec separate sheet identifying the motions)	quiring the attention of this court. (ii
, ,		res No X
	·	- Investor
SE TYPE OR PRI	NI)	
DUENO NAME	Attorney Kelly M. Lawson	2222
BUENO NAME	Attorney Kelly M. Lawson Federal Building - Room E-375, Boston	n, Massachusetts 02203

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 7 of 7

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

age of the Clerk of Courties				1			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT			
U. S. Departm	nent of Labor,	_		David San	itos		
Elaine L. Chao	o, Secretary of	Labor					
				County of Residen	ce of Kirst Listed	Essex	
(b) County of Residence of	First Listed Plaintiff	ACEC)		County of Residen	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)	
(EXC	EPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	ASES)	NOTE: IN LAND	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE			
				LANDI	NVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	Address and Telephone N	(umber)		Attorneys (1f Kno	wn)	• 1	
Attowney Kells	. Lawson				Attorney Kenneth A. Cossingham		
Attorney Kelly Lawson Office of Regional Solicitor, Dept. of Labor				Cossingham Law Office, P.C. 800 Turnpike Street - Suite 305			
IEK Foderal P	Ruilding - Room	1 E-3/3		800 Turnp	ike Street - Sui lover, Massachu	setts 01845	
<u> Roston, Massa</u>	ichusetts 0220		~~~	NOTE AND	UNCIDAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	(INCII AL I ANTIES)	and One Box for De (endant)	
				•	DEF Incorporated or I	DEF Principal Place	
XXI U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Governme	ent Not a Party)		Citizen of This State	I ☐ I Incorporated or I of Business in	Timerpar 1 2200 —	
Plaintiff	(0.3. 00 44 11 11				o Do Liveranted and	Principal Place 5 55	
2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity	achin of Dawies	ļ	Citizen of Another State	2 ☐ 2 Incorporated and of Business In	Another State	
Defendant	(Indicate Citi ze in Item III)	nsnip of rantes	1			_	
				Citizen or Subject of a	3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
	Γ (Place an "X" in O	ne Floy Only)	1	Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	r (Place an "X" in O			FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	FERSONAL INJU	JRY	610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reap portionment	
120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	362 Personal Injury-	- 1	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure	423 Withdrawal	410 Antitrust 30 Banks and Banking	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpracti 365 Personal Injury		of Property 21 USC	28 USC 157	450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liabilit	ıy	☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
& Enforcement o	∫ Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product		☐ 650 Airline Regs.	□ 820 Copyrights	Corrupt Organizations B10 Selective Service	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROP	ERTY	660 Occupational Safety/Health	830 Patent 840 Trademark	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud		☐ 590 Other		Exchange B75 Customer Challenge	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 350 M otor V ehicle	371 Truth in Lendin 380 Other Persona		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	12 USC 3410	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 M otor V ehicle	Property Dama	age	710 Fair Labor Sundards	☐ 861 H IA (13 95ff)	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Dama Product Liabili		Act 720 Labor/M gmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ■ 863 DIW C/DIW W (405 (g))	893 Environm ental Matters 894 Energy Alboration Act	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETI			☐ 864 SSID Tak XVI	□ 895 Freedom of	
	<u> </u>	☐ 510 Motions to Va		730 Labor/M gmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act		Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Forec losure	441 Voting 442 Employment	Sentence		740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAXSUITS	Determiner in qual Access to	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	1	Habeas Corpus:		790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Justice 950 Constitutionality of	
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	444 Welfare	535 De ath Penalty	015	STAT 791 Empl Ret Inc		State Statues 890 Other Statutory Actions	
290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 550 Civil Rights		791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	26 USC 7609	Byth Officer Statestory Actions	
	<u></u>	☐ 555 Prison Condi	ion	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Appeal to	
V. ORIGIN (PLA	ACE AN "X" IN ONE BO	OX ONLY)		Trans	sferred from	District	
	F-1	B		4 Reinstated or D 5 (spec	ner district	strict	
∏ 1 Original □ 2 Proceeding	State Court	Remanded from Appellate Court		Reopened	Litigatio		
	(Cite the U.S.Civi) S	titule under which you at	re filmg	and write bief stamment of cause	,		
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ERISA sec. 5	ional statutes unless diver 02 (a) (2) an	rsity.) 1d ((5), 29 U.S.C.	sec. 1132 (a)(2)) and (5) to redres	
rursuant to	d enforce prov	risions of \int	Titl	e I of ERISA.			
		IIS IS A CLASS AC	TION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES on	y if demanded in complaint:	
VII. REQUESTED COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.	CP. 23			JURY DEMANI	D: □Yes ⊠No	
VIII. RELATED CA	(See ASE(S) instructions):						
IF ANY	(c) managnom).	JUDG			DOCKET NUMBER		
11 7311 4		E					
DATE				ORNEY OF RECORD	No en		
8 July 2004		Attorne	y K	Kelly M. Lawson			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					MAG, J	UDGF	
RECEIPT #	AMOUN	APPLYING II	FP	JUDGE	MAG. 1	0001	